IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. 26509-M of 2007

Date of Decision: 07.05.2007

Amandeep Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab.

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.D. ANAND.

Present : Mr. Anil Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Manjari Nehru, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

S.D. Anand, J.

The petitioner is son of a former police official, who died in harness. On that account, the petitioner applied for appointment, as an Assistant Sub Inspector of Police, on compassionate ground. For indicating eligibility, he produced Detailed Mark Sheet of B.A. Examination. On ascertainment from the university authorities, it transpired that the Detailed Mark Sheet aforementioned produced by the petitioner was forged and that it had never been issued by the University. On that basis, the Commandant concerned addressed the Police Chief to register an FIR.

The learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner have initially been awarded 24 marks in the English language paper and that, on reevaluation, the marks in that language paper were enhanced to 44.

However, the learned counsel had no response to the query by

the Court to explain the certification given by the University that no such mark sheet ever came to be issued by it.

The present is, thus, a case in which the petitioner is alleged to have tried to obtain an appointment to public service on the basis of a forged certificate. The petitioner is, in view of nature of the allegation, not entitled to anticipatory bail. The anticipatory bail plea preferred on behalf of the petitioner shall stand declined accordingly.

May 07, 2007 (S.D. Anand)
vkd Judge